## Private Information in Dynamic Macro Models

#### Kristoffer Nimark CREI<sup>r</sup> and Universitat Pompeu Fabra

October 15, 2009

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Private Information in Dynamic Macro Models

Overview of two papers

- 1. Dynamic Higher Order Expectations
- 2. Speculative Dynamics in the Term Structure of Interest Rates

### Dynamic Higher Order Expectations

A class of (linear) models:

> Private information, strategic interaction and dynamic choices

- Every agent has his own "window to the world" but no agent is better informed than others on average
- Individual pay offs depend on (average) action taken by others

- Agents optimize intertemporally
- A framework to think about disagreement and uncertainty about the plans and actions of other agents
- The principal modeling difficulty: The infinite regress of "forecasting the forecasts of others" (Townsend 1983)

# Dynamic Higher Order Expectations

Find an finite dimensional representation that is arbitrarily close to true model

Strategy:

- 1. Impose structure on higher order expectations through common knowledge of rational expectations
  - By it self does not solve the "infinite regress problem" but makes thinking about higher order expectations tractable
- 2. Show that variance of expectations non-increasing with order of expectation
- 3. Show that impact of expectations decreasing with order of expectation

# Common knowledge of rational expectations and higher order expectations

Rational expectations allow us to solve for model consistent (first order) expectations

Treat average expectations as stochastic processes:

- Second order expectations should be rational, i.e. model consistent, expectations of first order expectations
- Third order expectation should be rational, i.e. model consistent, expectations of average second order expectations

...and so on.

#### The variance of higher order expectations

$$\underbrace{\theta_t^{(k)}}_{\text{'truth''}} \equiv \underbrace{\theta_t^{(k+1)}}_{\text{expectation}} + \underbrace{e_t^{(k+1)}}_{\text{expectation error}}$$

Errors are orthogonal to expectations so variances of right and left hand sides are simply given by

$$\operatorname{var}\left( heta_{t}^{\left(k
ight)}
ight)=\operatorname{var}\left( heta_{t}^{\left(k+1
ight)}
ight)+\operatorname{var}\left( heta_{t}^{\left(k+1
ight)}
ight)$$

Common knowledge of rational expectations thus implies that

$$\operatorname{var}\left( heta_{t}^{\left( k
ight) }
ight) \geq\operatorname{var}\left( heta_{t}^{\left( k+1
ight) }
ight)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### The Impact of Higher Order Expectations

Full information solution is given by

 $Y_t = G\theta_t$ 

Private information solution is of the form

$$Y_t = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_\infty \end{array} 
ight] \left[ egin{array}{cccc} heta_t \ heta_t^{(1)} \ dots \ heta_t^{(\infty)} \end{array} 
ight]$$

and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k = 0$  since common knowledge of rationality implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k = G$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

An application to the term structure of interest rates

# Speculative dynamics and the term structure of interest rates

- Traders have private information about future short rates
- Long maturity bonds are traded frequently
- New term structure dynamics driven partly by speculative behavior in the sense of Harrison and Kreps (1978)

 Estimate model to quantify importance of speculative dynamics in US bond data

#### Decomposing forward rates

The forward rate  $f_t^n$  can be decomposed into the the average first order projection and higher order projection errors

$$f_{t}^{n} = \underbrace{\int \mathcal{P}_{t,j} r_{t+n}}_{hold \ to \ maturity}} - \underbrace{\int \mathcal{P}_{t,j} \left( r_{t+n} - \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \int \mathcal{P}_{t+s,j} r_{t+n} \right)}_{"speculative \ dynamics"} + \left( \eta_{t}^{n} - \eta_{t}^{n+1} \right)$$

"Speculative dynamics" are due to possibility of reselling a bond before it matures and orthogonal to (real time) public information.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Historical speculation

Speculative term in implied forward rate is orthogonal to public information

$$\int \mathcal{P}_{t,j}\left(r_{t+n} - \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \int \mathcal{P}_{t+s,j}r_{t+n}\right)$$
(1)

Can we as econometricians still quantify its importance?

- The term (1) is only orthogonal to public information up to period t
- ► Use full sample and the Kalman simulation smoother to construct posterior estimate of p (X<sup>T</sup> | y<sup>T</sup>)
- Use estimate of  $p(X^T | y^T)$  to construct a posterior estimate of (1)



Figure: Estimated distribution of "speculative term" (percentage points) in implied 12 month forward rate. Median (solid) and 95% probability interval (dashed).



Figure: Fraction of variance (y-axis) of implied forward rates explained by speculative term across maturities (x-axis). Median (solid) and 95% probability interval (dashed).

# Summing up

Develop methods to solve dynamic models with private information that are

- general enough to solve models that are not too different from standard macro models
- fast enough to use in empirical work

Private information may have quantitatively important implications for how asset prices are determined

- Speculative dynamics driven by rational agents systematically predicting average expectation errors
- Potentially quantitatively important even in a market where terminal value of asset is known (i.e. zero coupon bonds)